SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, AUGUST 2, 2001 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S020032 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,

V.

Raymond Anthony Lewis, Defendant and Appellant. We affirm the judgment in its entirety.

Chin, J.

We Concur:

George, C.J.

Baxter, J.

Werdegar, J.

Brown, J.

Concurring Opinion by Kennard, J.

S075232 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,

V.

Damani Collins, Defendant and Appellant.

The decision of the Court of Appeal is reversed.

George, C.J.

We Concur:

Kennard, J.

Baxter, J.

Werdegar, J.

Chin, J.

Concurring Opinion by Brown, J.

S090076 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

Say Sengpadychith, Defendant and Appellant.

In re Say Sengpadychith on Habeas Corpus

We reverse the judgment of the Court of Appeal and remand the matter to that court for proceedings not inconsistent with this opinion.

It is so ordered.

Kennard, J.

We Concur:

George, C.J.

Baxter, J.

Werdegar, J.

Chin, J.

Concurring Opinion by Brown, J.

S029384 People, Respondent

V.

Dannie Ray Hillhouse, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including August 28, 2001.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

S032509 People, Respondent

v.

Erik Sandford Chatman, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief is extended to and including September 28, 2001.

S084292 In re David Keith Rogers

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including September 24, 2001.

S091601 Roseanne Hooker, Plaintiff and Appellant

v.

California Department of Transportation, Defendant and Respondent The application of the Associated General Contractors of California for an extension of time to file an application for leave to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondent is hereby granted.

The application and brief shall be served and filed on or before August 24, 2001.

S099387 Don LaFlamme, Petitioner

v.

San Francisco County Superior Court, Respondent People, Real Party in Interest

The above entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v*. *Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition shall be denied.